arXiv:1410.1169v2 [math.CO] 27 Feb 2015

On the $n-$dominating graph of specific graphs

Saeid Alikhani[1], and Davood Fatehi

Department of Mathematics, Yazd University
89195-741, Yazd, Iran

ABSTRACT

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set, if every vertex in $V(G)\backslash S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in S. The k-dominating graph of G, $D_k(G)$, is defined to be the graph whose vertices correspond to the dominating sets of G that have cardinality at most k. Two vertices in $D_k(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding dominating sets of G differ by either adding or deleting a single vertex. In this paper we consider and study the n-dominating graph of specific graphs.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C60, 05C69.
Keywords: Domination; dominating sets; complete graph, path graph.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. For any vertex $v \in V$, the open neighborhood of v is the set $N(v) = \{u \in V | uv \in E\}$ and the closed neighborhood of v is the set $N[v] = N(v) \cup v$. For a set $S \subseteq V$, the open neighborhood of S is $N(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$ and the closed neighborhood of S is $N[S] = N(S) \cup S$. A set $S \subseteq V$ is a dominating set of G, if $N[S] = V$, or equivalently, every vertex in $V\backslash S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in S. The *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set in G. For more study in domination theory, the reader is referred to [9]. A dominating set with cardinality $\gamma(G)$ is called a γ-*set*.

Given a graph G, the k-dominating graph of G, $D_k(G)$, is defined to be the graph whose vertices correspond to the dominating sets of G that have cardinality at most k. Two vertices in $D_k(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding dominating sets of G differ by either adding or deleting a single vertex ([8]).

[1]Corresponding author. E-mail: alikhani@yazd.ac.ir

A motivation for the study of the k-dominating graph is relationships between dominating sets. In particular, given dominating sets S and T, is there a sequence of dominating sets $S_0 = S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k = T$ such that each S_{i+1} is obtained from S_i by deleting or adding a single vertex? (see [8]).

A reconfiguration problem asks whether (when) one feasible solution to a problem can be transformed into another by some allowable set of moves, while maintaining feasibility at all steps. The graph $D_k(G)$ aids in studying the reconfiguration problem for dominating sets (see [8]). Authors in [8] gave conditions that ensure $D_k(G)$ is connected. As usual we denote the maximum and minimum degree of a graph G, by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, respectively.

In the next section we study the n-dominating graph of the complete graph K_n. In Section 3, we consider the n-dominating graphs of the path graph P_n and the cycle graph C_n and obtain some properties of these kind of graphs. Finally, we study the order of dominating graph of some graph products, in Section 4.

2 The n-dominating graph of K_n

Let G be a simple graph of order n. Since the order of n-dominating graph of G is the number of all dominating sets of G, so counting of the number of dominating sets of graphs is important in the study of this subject. We state the following theorem:

Theorem 1.[1, 6] *For every connected graph G, the number of dominating sets is odd.*

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1:

Corollary 1. *For every connected graph G, the order of n-dominating graph of G is odd.*

Author in [1] has given a construction showing that for each odd number n there is a connected graph G such that the number of its dominating sets is n. So we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2. *For each odd number n, there is a connected graph G, such that its n-dominating graph has order n.*

The following theorem is about the n-dominating graph of G.

Theorem 2.

(i) For every graph G the n-dominating graph $D_n(G)$ is a bipartite graph.

(ii) For every graph $G \neq O_n$, $D_n(G)$ is not regular.

Proof.

(i) Let X be the set of dominating sets of G with odd cardinality and Y be the set of dominating sets of even cardinality. It is clear that $X \cup Y = V(D_n(G))$ and $X \cap Y = \phi$. Suppose that $A, B \in X$, then $(A \backslash B) \cup (B \backslash A)$ cannot be a vertex of $D_n(G)$. Because $|A| = |B|$ or $\big||A| - |B|\big| \geq 2$. So AB is not an edge of $D_n(G)$ and with similar argument we have this for two vertices in Y. Therefore $D_n(G)$ is a bipartite graph with parts X and Y.

(ii) The degree of $V(G)$ as a vertex in $D_n(G)$ is n. Now consider a vertex of $D_n(G)$ which is a γ-set of G. It's degree is $n - \gamma < n$. So the graph $D_n(G)$ is not regular. □

First we consider the k-dominating graph of complete graph K_n. It is easy to see that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $D_1(K_n) = \overline{K_n}$ and $D_2(K_n) = K_{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$. Here we consider $n-$dominating graph of K_n, $D_n(K_n)$. The Figure 1 shows $D_3(K_3)$.

Now we state and prove some elementary results related to geometrical structure of $D_n(K_n)$.

Theorem 3.

(i) The number of vertices of $D_n(K_n)$ is $2^n - 1$.



Figure 1: The graph $D_3(K_3)$.

(ii) *The n-dominating graph $D_n(K_n)$ is a bipartite graph with parts X and Y, with $|X| = 2^{n-1}$ and $|Y| = 2^{n-1} - 1$.*

(iii) *For every $n \geq 3$, $\delta(D_n(K_n)) = n - 1$ and $\Delta(D_n(K_n)) = n$.*

(iv) *For every $n \geq 3$, $|E(D_n(K_n))| = n\left(2^{n-1} - 1\right)$.*

Proof.

(i) It is easy to see that the number of dominating sets of K_n with cardinality i is $\binom{n}{i}$, and since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} = 2^n - 1$, we have the result.

(ii) Let X be the set of dominating sets of K_n with odd cardinality and Y be the set of dominating sets of even cardinality of K_n. By theorem 2, $D_n(K_n)$ is a bipartite graph with parts X and Y. Now we obtain the cardinality of X and Y. Obviously $|X| = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k-1}$ and $|Y| = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k}$, therefore the result follows from well-known identities $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} = 2^n - 1$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} = -1$.

(iii) For each vertex of $D_n(K_n)$, which is a dominating set of K_n with cardinality one, there exists $n - 1$ dominating sets with cardinality 2, such that their symmetric difference is a single set, and thus both are adjacent. So, the degree of these kind of dominating set in

$D_n(K_n)$ is $n-1$.

Now suppose that S is a dominating set of K_n with $|S| = m$, where $2 \leq m \leq n$. There are m dominating sets of K_n of size $m-1$ and $n-m$ dominating sets of K_n of size $m+1$ which are adjacent with S. So, the degree of each members of S in $D_n(K_n)$ is equal to n. Therefore $\delta(D_n(K_n)) = n-1$ and $\Delta(D_n(K_n)) = n$.

(iv) By Part (i) and Hand-shaking lemma, the size of graph is $\frac{1}{2}\Big(n(n-1) + n\big(2^n - 1 - n\big)\Big)$ which is equal to $n\left(2^{n-1} - 1\right)$. □

We have shown the graph $D_3(K_3)$ as a bipartite graph in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Bipartite graph $D_3(K_3)$.

Remark. Since a bipartite graph with different number of vertices in its parts is not a Hamiltonian graph, so $D_n(G)$ is not Hamiltonian, for any graph G. Also it is easy to see that for every $n \geq 3$, $D_n(K_n)$ is not Eulerian and even it doesn't have an Eulerian trail.

3 The n-dominating graph of path and cycle

In this section we study the n-dominating graph of the path and the cycle graph.

A path is a connected graph in which two vertices have degree one and the remaining

vertices have degree two. Let P_n be the path with $V(P_n) = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $E(P_n) = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, ...\{n-1, n\}\}$, see Figure 3.



Figure 3: The path P_n with vertices labeled $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

By the definition of n-dominating graph, $D_n(P_n)$ is a graph, which its vertices are dominating sets of the path graph P_n and its two vertices are adjacent if and only if their symmetric difference is a single-member set of vertices of P_n. We have shown $D_3(P_3)$ in Figure 4.



Figure 4: graph $D_3(P_3)$.

To study the properties of $D_n(P_n)$, we need some results about the dominating sets of P_n.

Lemma 1.[7] For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma(P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$

We recall that a dominating set is minimal if all its proper subsets are not dominating. Let to denote the maximum cardinality of minimal dominating sets of a graph G, by $\Gamma(G)$. This parameter has not been widely studied. A dominating set with cardinality $\Gamma(G)$ is called a Γ-*set*. The following theorem give $\Gamma(P_n)$:

Theorem 4. *For every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma(P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

Proof. Consider the path P_n has shown in Figure 3. It is easy to see that for odd n, the set $\{1, 3, 5, ..., n\}$ is a minimal dominating set of P_n with maximum cardinality. Also for even n, the

two sets $\{1,3,5,...,n-1\}$ and $\{2,4,6,...,n\}$ are minimal dominating sets of P_n with maximum cardinality. Obviously the cardinality of these sets is $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. So $\Gamma(P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. □

By the proof of Theorem 4, we have the following easy result:

Corollary 3. *For even $n \neq 4$, there are two Γ-sets for P_n, and for odd n there is a unique Γ-set for P_n.*

Now consider the n-dominating graph of P_n, $D_n(P_n)$. Let $\mathcal{D}(P_n, i)$ denote the family of all dominating sets of P_n with cardinality i. Suppose that $A, B \in \mathcal{D}(P_n, i)$ are two distinct dominating sets of P_n with cardinality i (or two distinct vertices of $D_n(P_n)$). Let $\partial(A, B)$ denote the distance between two vertices A and B. We state and prove the following theorem:

Theorem 5. *Suppose that $A, B \in \mathcal{D}(P_n, i)$. We have $\partial(A, B) = 2$ if and only if $|A \bigcap B| = i - 1$*

Proof. Let $\partial(A, B) = 2$. So there exists a dominating set of P_n with cardinality $i+1$, say S such that $S \backslash A = \{x\}$ and $S \backslash B = \{y\}$. Therefore $A \bigcap B = S \backslash \{x, y\}$. Hence

$$\left| A \bigcap B \right| = i + 1 - 2 = i - 1.$$

Now let $|A \bigcap B| = i - 1$, so there exist $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ such that $x \notin B$ and $y \notin A$. Consider $S = A \bigcup \{y\} = B \bigcup \{x\}$. The set S is a dominating set of P_n, because A and B are dominating sets and hence S is a vertex of $D_n(P_n)$. Note that the vertex S is adjacent to A and B, but A is not adjacent to B because $|A| = |B|$. Therefore $\partial(A, B) = 2$. □

Suppose that $d(P_n, j)$, is the number of dominating sets of P_n with cardinality j. We need the following results:

Lemma 2.[3] If $\mathfrak{p}_n^i$ is the family of dominating sets with cardinality i of P_n, then

$$|\mathfrak{p}_n^i| = |\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}^{i-1}| + |\mathfrak{p}_{n-2}^{i-1}| + |\mathfrak{p}_{n-3}^{i-1}|.$$

Theorem 6.[3] The following properties hold for $d(P_n, j)$:

(i) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(P_{3n}, n) = 1$.

(ii) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(P_{3n} + 2, n + 1) = n + 2$.

(iii) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(P_{3n+1}, n + 1) = \frac{(n+2)(n+3)}{2} - 2$.

(iv) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(P_{3n}, n + 1) = \frac{n(n+1)(n+8)}{6}$.

(v) If $s_n = \sum_{j=\lceil n/3 \rceil}^{n} d(P_n, j)$, then for every $n \geq 4$, $s_n = s_{n-1} + s_{n-2} + s_{n-3}$ with initial values $s_1 = 1, s_2 = 3$ and $s_3 = 5$.

(vi) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(P_n, n - 1) = n$.

We have the following properties for order of n-dominating graph of P_n which is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6:

Corollary 4. *For every $n \geq 4$,*

$$|V(D_n(P_n)| = |V(D_{n-1}(P_{n-1})| + |V(D_{n-2}(P_{n-2})| + |V(D_{n-3}(P_{n-3})|,$$

with initial values $|V(D_1(P_1)| = 1$, $|V(D_2(P_2)| = 3$ and $|V(D_3(P_3)| = 5$.

We have the following results for the number of the dominating sets of P_n, i.e., $|V(D_n(P_n))|$ which are easy and straightforward to obtain:

Theorem 7.

(i) The generating function of $|V(D_n(P_n))|$ is $T(x) = -\frac{(x+1)^2}{x^3+x^2+x-1}$.

(ii) The order of $D_n(P_n)$ satisfies

$$|V(D_n(P_n))| = \frac{(-1)^n \left(A \left(\tau_1^{-1}\right)^n \tau_2\tau_3 + B \left(\tau_2^{-1}\right)^n \tau_1\tau_3 + C \left(\tau_3^{-1}\right)^n \tau_1\tau_2 \right)}{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3},$$

where τ_1, τ_2 and τ_3 are the roots of $x^3 + x^2 + x - 1 = 0$ and A, B and C are $\frac{(\tau_1-1)^2}{(\tau_3-\tau_1)(\tau_2-\tau_1)}$, $\frac{(\tau_2-1)^2}{(\tau_3-\tau_2)(\tau_1-\tau_2)}$ and $\frac{(\tau_3-1)^2}{(\tau_2-\tau_3)(\tau_1-\tau_3)}$, respectively.

Here we state and prove more results on the number of γ-sets and $(\gamma+1)$-sets of paths:

Corollary 5. *The number of γ-sets of P_{3k}, P_{3k+1} and P_{3k+2} are 1, $\frac{k^2+5k+2}{2}$ and $k+2$, respectively.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 and Theorem 6 (i),(ii) and (iii). □

We know that one or two members of the family of dominating sets with cardinality $\gamma+1$ are Γ-sets. We shall find the cardinality of these families. Our objective is finding any γ-set by adding or deleting some vertices of another γ-set.

By Theorem 6 (iv), the number of $(\gamma+1)$-sets of P_{3n} is $d(P_{3n}, n+1) = \frac{n(n+1)(n+8)}{6}$. Here we obtain the number of $(\gamma+1)$-sets of P_{3n+1} and P_{3n+2}:

Theorem 8. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

(i) the number of $(\gamma+1)$-sets of P_{3n+1} is $\frac{1}{24}\left(n^4+18n^3+71n^2+78n+24\right)$.

(ii) the number of $(\gamma+1)$-sets of P_{3n+2} is $\frac{1}{120}n(n+1)\left(n^3+24n^2+121n+94\right)$.

Proof.

(i) We shall prove $d(P_{3n+2}, n+2) = \frac{1}{24}\left(n^4+18n^3+71n^2+78n+24\right)$. We use induction on n. Since $d(P_5, 3) = 8$, the result is true for $n = 1$. Now suppose that the result is true for all natural numbers less than n, and we prove it for n. By Lemma 2,

$$d(P_{3n+2}, n+2) = d(P_{3n+1}, n+1) + d(P_{3n}, n+1) + d(P_{3n-1}, n+1).$$

Since $d(P_{3n-1}, n+1) = d(P_{3(n-1)+2}, (n-1)+2)$, by Theorem 6 (iii),(iv) and induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(P_{3n+2}, n+2) &= \frac{(n+2)(n+3)}{2} - 2 + \frac{n(n+1)(n+8)}{6} + \frac{1}{24}n(n^3+14n^2+23n-14) \\ &= \frac{1}{24}\left(n^4+18n^3+71n^2+78n+24\right). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We use induction on n. Since $d(P_4, 3) = 4$, The result is true for $n = 1$. Now suppose that the result is true for all natural numbers less than n, and we prove it for n. By Lemma 2,

$$d(P_{3n+1}, n+2) = d(P_{3n}, n+1) + d(P_{3n-1}, n+1) + d(P_{3n-2}, n+1).$$

Since $d(P_{3n-1}, n+1) = d(P_{3(n-1)+2}, (n-1)+2)$ and $d(P_{3n-2}, n+1) = d(P_{3(n-1)+1}, (n-1)+2)$, by Theorem 6 (i), (iv) and induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(P_{3n+1}, n+2) &= \frac{n(n+1)(n+8)}{6} + \frac{1}{24}n(n^3+14n^2+23n-14) \\ &+ \frac{1}{120}(n-1)n(n^3+21n^2+76n-4) \\ &= \frac{1}{120}n(n+1)\left(n^3+24n^2+121n+94\right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem is about the minimum and maximum degree of $D_n(P_n)$:

Theorem 9. *For n-dominating graph of P_n we have $\Delta(D_n(P_n)) = n$ and $\delta(D_n(P_n)) = n - \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.*

Proof. By Theorem 6(vi), $D_n(P_n)$ has n vertices of cardinality $n-1$ and these are all vertices adjacent to vertex $V(P_n)$, and so $\deg(V(P_n)) = n$. For every $\lceil n/3 \rceil < i < n$, there are at most i vertices $A \in \mathcal{D}(P_n, i-1)$ and exactly $n-i$ vertices $B \in \mathcal{D}(P_n, i+1)$ adjacent to $C \in \mathcal{D}(P_n, i)$. For all vertices in $\mathcal{D}(P_n, \lceil n/3 \rceil)$ there are $n - \gamma$ adjacent vertices of $\mathcal{D}(P_n, \gamma+1)$ and for vertices in $\mathcal{D}(P_n, \Gamma)$ there are $n - \Gamma(P_n)$ adjacent vertices of $\mathcal{D}(P_n, \Gamma+1)$. So $\delta(D_n(P_n)) = n - \Gamma$ and $\Delta(D_n(P_n)) = n$. We have the result by Theorem 4. $\square$

Theorem 10. *The graph $D_n(P_n)$ is a connected graph.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{D}(P_n, i)$ and $B \in \mathcal{D}(P_n, j)$ are two vertices of $D_n(P_n)$, where $i < j$. Step by step, we add a vertex to A to reach a vertex in $\mathcal{D}(P_n, n)$. Then step by step remove a vertex to reach to a vertex in $\mathcal{D}(P_n, j)$. Note that all the sets obtained in these steps are dominating sets of P_n and so are vertices of $D_n(P_n)$. Hence we have found a path between A and B. Therefore $D_n(P_n)$ is a connected graph. $\square$

Here we consider cycles. Let $C_n, n \geq 3$, be the cycle with n vertices $V(C_n) = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $E(C_n) = \{\{1,2\},\{2,3\},\ldots,\{n-1,n\},\{n,1\}\}$, see Figure 5.



Figure 5: The cycle C_n with vertices labeled $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{D}(C_n, i)$ or simply $\mathcal{C}_n^i$ denote the family of dominating set of C_n with cardinality i. The following theorem gives a recurrence relation for the number of dominating sets of cycles with cardinality i:

Theorem 11.[4]

(i) If $\mathcal{C}_n^i$ is the family of dominating set of C_n with cardinality i, then $|\mathcal{C}_n^i| = |\mathcal{C}_{n-1}^{i-1}| + |\mathcal{C}_{n-2}^{i-1}| + |\mathcal{C}_{n-3}^{i-1}|$.

(ii) If $S_n = \sum_{j=\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil}^{n} |\mathcal{C}_n^j|$, then for every $n \geq 4$, $S_n = S_{n-1} + S_{n-2} + S_{n-3}$ with initial values $S_1 = 1, S_2 = 3$ and $S_3 = 7$.

We have the following properties for order of n-dominating graph of C_n which is an immediate consequence of Theorem 11 (see Figure 6):

Corollary 6. *For every $n \geq 4$,*

$$|V(D_n(C_n)| = |V(D_{n-1}(C_{n-1})| + |V(D_{n-2}(C_{n-2})| + |V(D_{n-3}(C_{n-3})|,$$

with initial values $|V(D_1(C_1)| = 1$, $|V(D_2(C_2)| = 3$ and $|V(D_3(C_3)| = 5$.

Theorem 12. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma(C_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.*



Figure 6: graph $D_4(C_4)$.

Proof. Consider the cycle C_n has shown in Figure 5. It is easy to see that for even n, the two sets $\{1, 3, 5, ..., n-1\}$ and $\{2, 4, 6, ..., n\}$ are minimal dominating sets of C_n with maximum cardinality. For odd n all the sets of the form $\{i \oplus 2k : \ 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}$ are the Γ-sets of C_n, where $\oplus$ is sum modulo n. Obviously the cardinality of these sets is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. So $\Gamma(C_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

□

Similar to n-dominating of P_n, we have the following results:

Theorem 13.

(i) For even $n > 4$, there are two Γ-sets and for odd n there are n Γ-sets for C_n.

(ii) $\Delta(D_n(C_n)) = n$ and $\delta(D_n(C_n)) = n - \Gamma$.

(iii) The graph $D_n(C_n)$ is a connected graph.

The following result is easy to obtain:

Theorem 14.

(i) The generating function of $|V(D_n(C_n))|$ *is* $T(x) = -\frac{3x^2+2x+1}{x^3+x^2+x-1}$.

(ii) The order of $D_n(C_n)$ *satisfies*

$$|V(D_n(C_n))| = \frac{(-1)^n \left(A \left(\tau_1^{-1}\right)^n \tau_2\tau_3 + B \left(\tau_2^{-1}\right)^n \tau_1\tau_3 + C \left(\tau_3^{-1}\right)^n \tau_1\tau_2 \right)}{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3},$$

where τ_1, τ_2 *and* τ_3 *are the roots of* $x^3 + x^2 + x - 1 = 0$ *and* A, B *and* C *are* $\frac{3\tau_1^2-2\tau_1+1}{(\tau_3-\tau_1)(\tau_2-\tau_1)}$, $\frac{3\tau_2^2-2\tau_2+1}{(\tau_3-\tau_2)(\tau_1-\tau_2)}$ *and* $\frac{3\tau_3^2-2\tau_3+1}{(\tau_3-\tau_2)(\tau_3-\tau_1)}$, *respectively.*

4 The order of n-dominating graph of graph products

We would like to end this paper by stating some results on the order of n-dominating graph of some operations of two graphs. The join $G = G + H$ of two graph G and H with disjoint vertex sets V_1 and V_2 and edge sets E_1 and E_2 is the graph union $G \cup H$ together with all the edges joining V_1 and V_2. The corona of two graphs G and H is the graph $G \circ H$ formed from one copy of G and $|V(G)|$ copies of H, where the i-th vertex of G is adjacent to every vertex in the i-th copy of H.

The following theorem gives the order of number of $D_n(G + H)$ and $D_n(G \circ H)$. This theorem can be found in domination polynomial format in [2]:

Theorem 15. *Let* G *and* H *be graphs of order* p *and* q, *respectively, and* $n = p + q$. *Then*

(i) $|V(D_n(G + H))| = (2^p - 1)(2^q - 1) + |V(D_p(G))| + |V(D_q(H))|$.

(ii) $|V(D_n(G \circ H))| = (2^q + |V(D_q(H))|)^p$.

Proof.

(i) Let i be a natural number $1 \leq i \leq p+q$. We shall count the number of dominating sets of $G + H$. If i_1 and i_2 are two natural numbers such that $i_1 + i_2 = i$, then clearly, for every $D_1 \subseteq V(G)$ and $D_2 \subseteq V(H)$, such that $|D_j| = i_j$, $j = 1, 2$, $D_1 \cup D_2$ is a dominating set of $G + H$. Also, if D is a dominating set of G of size i, then D is a dominating set for $G + H$ of size i. The same is true for every dominating D of size i. Thus we have the result.

(ii) In the corona of two graphs G and H, every vertex $u \in V(G)$ is adjacent to all vertices of the corresponding copy of H. So, we can delete all edges in E in the corona. Therefore, the arising graph is the disjoint union of p copies of the corona $K_1 \circ H$. Therefore, the number of dominating sets of $G \circ H$ is $|V(D_{q+1}(K_1 \circ H)|^p$. It remains to obtain the number of dominating sets of $K_1 \circ H$. We have two cases for a dominating set S of every graph of form $K_1 \circ H$.

Case 1. S includes the vertex originally in K_1 and an arbitrary subset of the q vertices from the copy of H. In this case we have 2^q dominating sets.

Case 2. S does not include the vertex in K_1 and it is exactly a dominating set of H. In this case there are $|V(D_q(H))|$ dominating sets.

By addition principle we have $|V(D_{q+1}(K_1 \circ H)| = 2^m + |V(D_q(H))|$. □

Given any two graphs G and H we define the Cartesian product, denoted $G \Box H$, to be the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and edges between two vertices (u_1, v_1) and (u_2, v_2) if and only if either $u_1 = u_2$ and $v_1 v_2 \in E(H)$ or $u_1 u_2 \in E(G)$ and $v_1 = v_2$. We think that computing of the order of Cartesian product of two graphs G and H, i.e. the number of dominating sets of $G \Box H$ is not easy. Using Theorem 4.2. of [10] we have the following recurrence relation for the order of Ladder graph L_n which is $P_n \Box K_2$.

Theorem 16.[10] *Let G be a graph of order n, then*

$$\begin{aligned} |V(D_{2n}(L_n))| &= 3|V(D_{2n-2}(L_{n-1}))| + 2|V(D_{2n-4}(L_{n-2}))| + 2|V(D_{2n-6}(L_{n-3}))| \\ &- |V(D_{2n-8}(L_{n-4}))| - |V(D_{2n-10}(L_{n-5}))|. \end{aligned}$$

In this section we studied the order of $|V|$-dominating graph of some graph operations. Study the geometrical properties and parameters of these kind of graphs remain as open problems which we believe deserve attention.

References

[1] Alikhani, S.: The domination polynomial of a graph at -1, Graphs Combin., **29**, 1175-1181 (2013).

[2] Alikhani, S.: On the domination polynomial of some graph operations, ISRN Combin., Volume 2013, Article ID 146595 (2013).

[3] Alikhani, S. and Peng, Y.H.: Dominating sets and dominating polynomials of paths, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., vol. 2009, Article ID 542040 (2009).

[4] Alikhani, S. and Peng, Y.H.: Dominating sets and domination polynomials of certain graphs, II, Opuscula Math. **30** (1) 37-51 (2010).

[5] Bondy, J.A. and Murty, U.S.R.: Graph theory with applications, Elsevier Science Publishing Co., USA (1979).

[6] Brouwer, A.E.: The number of dominating sets of a finite graph is odd (preprint).

[7] Chartrand, G., Zhang,P.: Introduction to Graph Theory, McGraw-Hill, Boston, Mass, USA (2005).

[8] Haas, R., Seyffarth, K.: The k-dominating graph, Graphs Combin., **30**, 609-617 (2014).

[9] Haynes, T.W., Hedetniemi, S.T., Slater, P.J.: Fundamentals of domination in graphs, Marcel Dekker, NewYork (1998).

[10] Kotek, T., Preen, J., Tittmann, P.: Domination polynomials of graph products. Available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.1475`.